	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/018,117	GUDERIAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Cephia D. Toomer.	(3)	
(2) Edward Freedman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
	*	
Part I.		*
Rejection(s) discussed: 5,7,17,18,25	*	,
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
DE 41416576		
Part II.	ICON NATURE OF MUNT W	NE DISCUSSED:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	43 DI30003ED.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	the record of the substance of the	ne interview, since the interview
	. ^	
·		
	<u> </u>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/018,117

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Freedman that some of the claims that were objected to in the prior office action should have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a). The examiner invited Mr. Freedman to cancel these claims. Mr. Freedman indicated that he would need to contact his clients before any action could be taken. The examiner stated that she would re-open prosecution and send out a new office action which addresses the claims in question..